Reply to Office Action of January 5, 2007

REMARKS

Claims 1, 4-9, and 12 are now pending in the application. By this amendment,

independent claim 1 has been amended and new independent claim 12 has been added.

The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejections in view of

the amendments and remarks contained herein.

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 1-11 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point and distinctly claim the subject matter which

Applicant regards as the invention. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

The Examiner found confusing the order in which the first and second mixing steps

and the pH adjusting step were performed. Accordingly, claim 1 has been amended to

move the second mixing step so that it is recited as being after the pH adjusting step.

Claims 10 and 11 have been cancelled.

The Examiner also found confusing applicants' preamble recitation of the water-

soluble solubilizing group and of the additive. Accordingly, applicants have amended the

preamble in two places, to indicate that the water-soluble is selected from the group

consisting of...; and similarly that the additive includes at least one selected from the group

consisting of... Thus it is now believed clear what these preamble elements are referring

to.

5 GASISIE Application No. 10/816,003 Amendment dated March 19, 2007

Reply to Office Action of January 5, 2007

It is therefore respectfully submitted that any confusion in the wording of the claims

Docket No.: 5077-000209/US

has been corrected. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1, 3-5 and 7-11 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being

anticipated by WO 02/072719 taken in view of the evidence given in Shimizu et al. (U.S.

5,928,220). Reconsideration is respectfully requested in light of the amendments.

WO 02/072719 relates to an ink and methods of preparing an ink having a water-

soluble dve. The Examiner cites 5,928,220 as disclosing Acid Black 2 having a sulfonic

group for water solubilizing.

While the cited references do disclose methods of making in compositions with

similar ink components to the present invention, the references do not describe the

applicants' method of preparation which employs steps in the following order:

1) mixing the dye and condensation polymerizable silane (before adding other ink

components);

2) adjusting pH; and

3) adding an additive of an organic acid or inorganic acid salt.

Applicants claim 1, as amended, recites the adjusting pH step as being between the

first mixing step and the second mixing step. Thus order of steps in not found in the cited

references. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that the claims as amended now

distinguish over the cited references.

6 GAS/sjr

Application No. 10/816,003 Amendment dated March 19, 2007

Reply to Office Action of January 5, 2007

New claim 12 has been added by this amendment. The Examiner will note that the

Docket No.: 5077-000209/US

same order of steps (discussed above) is recited. In addition, new claim 12 provides

further recitation of the cation of the additive.

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 10 and 11 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Soga et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,929,686) in view of WO 02/072719 and Kanaya et al.

(U.S. Pat. No. 5,985,015). Claims 10 and 11 have been cancelled. Therefore this rejection

has been rendered moot.

7 GASSIF

Application No. 10/816,003 Docket No.: 5077-000209/US Amendment dated March 19, 2007

Reply to Office Action of January 5, 2007

CONCLUSION

It is believed that all of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw all presently outstanding rejections. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action and the present application is in condition for allowance. Thus, prompt and favorable consideration of this amendment is respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (248) 641-1600.

Applicant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 08-0750, under Order No. 5077-000209/US from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: March 19, 2007 Respectfully submitted,

Electronic signature: /Gregory A. Stobbs/ Gregory A. Stobbs Registration No.: 28,764 HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. Box 828 Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303 (248) 641-1214 Attorney for Applicant

8 GASHAY